Respondees 1	Total 156	SWH Primary Residents 69.2%	108	Non Primary Residents 30.8%	48	Notes/	Comments
Demographics							
1. How long have you lived in SWH?	<b>5</b> 3.2%	4	3.7%	100.0%	2.1%	Over 10 years	
a 0-5	21 13.5%	13	12.0%	8	16.7%	All	68.6%
b 6-10	23 14.7%	16	14.8%	7	14.6%	SWH	69.4%
c 11+	85 54.5%	60	55.6%	25	52.1%	Non-Residents	66.7%
d All my life	22 14.1%	15	13.9%	7	14.6%		
2. Is SWH your voting residence?	<b>4</b> 2.6%	4	3.7%	0	0.0%		
Y Yes	103 66.0%	103	95.4%	0	0.0%		
N No	49 31.4%	1	0.9%	48	100.0%		
3. Where is your primary residence if not							
SWH?	<b>108</b> 69.2%	Sort Crit	eria	Sort Cr	iteria		
Non (Primary) Residents	48 30.8%						
4. How many months out of the year do you							
live in SWH?	<b>5</b> 3.2%	4	3.7%	1	2.1%		
a 10-12	97 62.2%	93	86.1%	4	8.3%	Full-time Residents	
b 6-9	18 11.5%	10	9.3%	8	16.7%		
c 4-5	17 10.9%	1	0.9%	16	33.3%		
d Less than 4	19 12.2%	0	0.0%	19	39.6%		
5. Do you ownor rent your home?	<b>3</b> 1.9%	3	2.8%	0	0.0%		
a Own	144 92.3%	97	89.8%	47	97.9%		
b Rent	9 5.8%	8	7.4%	1	2.1%		
6. Why did you decide to settle here?	<b>11</b> 7.1%	6	5.6%	5	10.4%		
a Born here	26 16.7%	21	19.4%	5	10.4%		
b Summered or visited here	70 44.9%	37	34.3%	33	68.8%		
c Retired here	21 13.5%	17	15.7%	4	8.3%		
d Work related relocation	28 17.9%	27	25.0%	1	2.1%		
7. How old are you?	<b>6</b> 3.8%	5	4.6%	1	2.1%	Over 55	
a 0-19	0 0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	All	69.9%
b 20-34	3 1.9%	3	2.8%	0	0.0%	SWH	79.6%
c 35-54	38 24.4%	26	24.1%	12	25.0%	Non-Residents	72.9%

Respondees	<b>Fotal</b>	156	SWH Primary Residents		Non Primary Residents		Notes,	/Comme	ents	
			69.2%	108	30.8%	48				
d 55-75	90 5	57.7%	60	55.6%	30	62.5%				
e 76+	19 1	2.2%	14	13.0%	5	10.4%				
8. How many adults in your household?	3	1.9%	3	2.8%	0	0.0%				
[#}										
1	36 2	23.1%	30	27.8%	6	12.5%				
2	108 6	9.2%	69	63.9%	39	81.3%				
3+	9	5.8%	9	8.3%	3	6.3%				
9. How many children in your household?	3	1.9%								
[#}							Households with Ch	ildren		
0	120 7	6.9%	82	75.9%	38	79.2%	All	21.2%		
1	13	8.3%	11	10.2%	2	4.2%	SWH	24.1%		
2	13	8.3%	10	9.3%	3	6.3%	Non-Residents	20.8%		
3+	7	4.5%	5	4.6%	5	10.4%				
10. Are you	4	2.6%	4	3.7%	0	0.0%	Working			
a Employed	49 3	31.4%	34	31.5%	15	31.3%	All	53.8%		
b Self-employed	35 2	2.4%	27	25.0%	8	16.7%	SWH	56.5%		
c Retired	63 4	0.4%	39	36.1%	24	50.0%	Non-Residents	47.9%		
d Unemployed	5	3.2%	4	3.7%	1	2.1%				
e Student	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%				
							Respondees	All	SWH	Non SWH
11. What is your yearly income?		9.9%	23	21.3%	8	16.7%		125	85	40
a Less than 10K		3.2%	5	4.6%	0	0.0%				
b 10-14999		0.6%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	Under \$35K		23.5%	
c 15-24999		5.1%	7	6.5%	1	2.1%	\$35K - \$75K		45.9%	
d 25-34999		4.5%	7	6.5%	0	0.0%	Over \$75K	42.4%	30.6%	67.5%
e 35-49999		6.0%	22	20.4%	3	6.3%				
f 50-74999		6.7%	17	15.7%	9	18.8%	or			
g 75+99999		0.9%	14	13.0%	3	6.3%			40.45	
h 100000 - 149999		4.7%	11	10.2%	12	25.0%	Under \$50K		49.4%	
i 150000 - 199999		3.8%	0	0.0%	6	12.5%	\$50K - \$100K		36.5%	
j 200K+	7	4.5%	1	0.9%	6	12.5%	Over \$100K	28.8%	14.1%	60.0%

Respondees T	otal 156	SWH Primary Residents 69.2%	108	Non Primary Residents 30.8%	48	No	tes/Comme	ents	
		001270	100	00.070	10				
General							A 11		
1. The SWH zoning ordinance successfully protects the aesthetics and character of the							All	SWH N	lon SWH
harbor.	<b>17</b> 10.9%	12	11.1%	5		Responses	139	96	43
1. Strongly Agree	9 5.8%	7	6.5%	2	4.2%				
2. Agree	49 31.4%	33	30.6%	16		Agree - 1+2	41.7%	41.7%	41.9%
3. Neutral	42 26.9%	34	31.5%	8	16.7%		00 10/	00.00/	00 E0/
4. Disagree 5. Strongly Disagree	22 14.1% 17 10.9%	11 11	10.2% 10.2%	11 6	22.9% 12.5%	Disagree - 4+5	28.1%	22.9%	39.5%
3. Strongly Disagree	17 10.376		10.2 /0	0	12.370				
2. The SWH zoning ordinance successfully protects adequate public access to the harbor.	<b>16</b> 10.3%	12	11.1%	4		Responses	140	96	44
1. Strongly Agree	15 9.6%	10	9.3%	5	10.4%				
2. Agree	57 36.5%	39	36.1%	18		Agree - 1+2	51.4%	51.0%	52.3%
3. Neutral 4. Disagree	45 28.8% 15 9.6%	33 9	30.6% 8.3%	12 6	25.0% 12.5%	Disagroo 4,5	16 /0/	14.6%	20.5%
5. Strongly Disagree	15 9.6% 8 5.1%	9 5	6.3% 4.6%	6 3	6.3%	Disagree - 4+5	10.4%	14.0%	20.5%
	0 0.170	5	4.0 /0	5	0.5 /6				
3. Having mixed use zoning around the harbor allowing fishing, maritime activities, commercial use, and residential use but restricting large buildings, hotels, etc, was successful in protecting the harbor's character									
and functioning.	<b>11</b> 7.1%	7	6.5%	4		Responses	145	101	44
1. Strongly Agree	35 22.4%	24	22.2%	11	22.9%				
2. Agree	71 45.5%	46	42.6%	25		Agree - 1+2	73.1%	69.3%	81.8%
3. Neutral	23 14.7%	18	16.7%	5	10.4%		11.00/	10.00/	C 00/
4. Disagree 5. Strongly Disagree	10 6.4% 6 3.8%	7 6	6.5% 5.6%	3 0	6.3% 0.0%	Disagree - 4+5	11.0%	12.9%	6.8%
5. Strongly Disagree	0 3.0%	Ö	0.0%	U	0.076				

Respondees Total 156		SWH Primary Residents		Non Primary Residents		Not	es/Comme	ents	
		<b>69.2%</b>	108	30.8%	48				
4. The current ordinance (and mixed use									
zoning) works fairly well and we should be	<b>9</b> 5.8%	G	5.6%	2	6 20/	Baapapapa	147	102	45
cautious about changing it.	<b>9</b> 5.8% 27 17.3%	6 19	5.6% 17.6%	3 8	6.3% 16.7%	Responses	147	102	40
1. Strongly Agree	68 43.6%	44	40.7%	24		Agree - 1+2	61 6%	61.8%	71.1%
2. Agree 3. Neutral	31 19.9%	44 26	40.7% 24.1%	24 5	50.0% 10.4%	Agree - 1+2	04.0%	01.0%	/1.1%
		20	24.1% 8.3%	5 7		Diagaroo 1,5	1/ 20/	12.7%	17.8%
4. Disagree	16 10.3% 5 3.2%		0.3% 3.7%	1	14.6% 2.1%	Disagree - 4+5	14.3%	12.7%	17.0%
5. Strongly Disagree	5 3.2%	4	3.1%	1	2.1%				
E Mith commin concerns about the									
<ol><li>With economic concerns about the sustainability of traditional uses of the</li></ol>									
waterfront (fishing, boat building, boat									
services, and other maritime activities), the									
ordinance needs to remain flexible enough to									
allow changing commercial uses.	<b>14</b> 9.0%	10	9.3%	4		Responses	142	98	44
1. Strongly Agree	32 20.5%	24	22.2%	8	16.7%				
2. Agree	65 41.7%	41	38.0%	24	50.0%	Agree - 1+2	68.3%	66.3%	72.7%
3. Neutral	22 14.1%	17	15.7%	5	10.4%				
4. Disagree	13 8.3%	9	8.3%	4	8.3%	Disagree - 4+5	16.2%	16.3%	15.9%
5. Strongly Disagree	10 6.4%	7	6.5%	3	6.3%				
6. The town needs to offer tax incentives or									
relief for fishing and maritime activities on the									
waterfront.	<b>11</b> 7.1%	9	8.3%	2	4.2%	Responses	145	99	46
1. Strongly Agree	39 25.0%	25	23.1%	14	29.2%				
2. Agree	51 32.7%	32	29.6%	19		Agree - 1+2	62.1%	57.6%	71.7%
3. Neutral	29 18.6%	20	18.5%	9	18.8%				
4. Disagree	15 9.6%	13	12.0%	2	4.2%	Disagree - 4+5	17.9%	22.2%	8.7%
5. Strongly Disagree	11 7.1%	9	8.3%	2	4.2%	5			
су, с									
7. The zoning ordinance (LUO) needs to be									
streamlined to be clearer and more readable,									
to emphasize what is permitted as well as		4.5	10.00	<u> </u>	0.001			~=	
prohibited.	<b>16</b> 10.3%	13	12.0%	3		Responses	140	95	45
1. Strongly Agree	44 28.2%	32	29.6%	12	25.0%				
2. Agree	56 35.9%	37	34.3%	19	39.6%	Agree - 1+2	71.4%	72.6%	68.9%

Respondees T	Respondees Total 156		SWH Non Primary Primary Residents Residents			Not	Notes/Comments				
		69.2%	108	30.8%	48						
3. Neutral	27 17.3%	15	13.9%	12	25.0%						
4. Disagree	11 7.1%	9	8.3%	2	4.2%	Disagree - 4+5	9.3%	11.6%	4.4%		
5. Strongly Disagree	2 1.3%	2	1.9%	0	0.0%						
8. Boaters should be able to walk safely to town from Town Docks, the marina and other landing sites; sidewalk improvements are			0.00/		1.00/	_		105	10		
badly needed.	<b>5</b> 3.2%	3	2.8%	2		Responses	151	105	46		
1. Strongly Agree	93 59.6%	67	62.0%	26	54.2%						
2. Agree	37 23.7%	26	24.1%	11		Agree - 1+2	86.1%	88.6%	80.4%		
3. Neutral	7 4.5%	5	4.6%	2	4.2%						
4. Disagree	9 5.8%	3	2.8%	6	12.5%	Disagree - 4+5	9.3%	6.7%	15.2%		
5. Strongly Disagree	5 3.2%	4	3.7%	1	2.1%						
<ul> <li>9. Bicycle paths connecting the center of town with the Town docks, the marina and the Manset shore should be developed.</li> <li>1. Strongly Agree</li> <li>2. Agree</li> <li>3. Neutral</li> <li>4. Disagree</li> </ul>	<b>2</b> 1.3% 71 45.5% 47 30.1% 15 9.6% 8 5.1%	2 48 34 11 4	1.9% 44.4% 31.5% 10.2% 3.7%	0 23 13 4 4	0.0% 47.9% 27.1% 8.3% 8.3%	Responses Agree - 1+2 Disagree - 4+5		106 <b>77.4%</b> 12.3%	48 <b>75.0%</b> 16.7%		
5. Strongly Disagree	13 8.3%	9	8.3%	4	8.3%						
<ul> <li>10. Because of limited parking and poor sidewalks, the town should develop local public transportation from Town Docks, the Manset shore, and (new) satellite parking areas away from the waterfront to and from the center of town.</li> <li>1. Strongly Agree 2. Agree 3. Neutral 4. Disagree 5. Strongly Disagree</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 4.5%</li> <li>12 7.7%</li> <li>35 22.4%</li> <li>32 20.5%</li> <li>40 25.6%</li> <li>30 19.2%</li> </ul>	6 9 23 23 23 23 24	5.6% 8.3% 21.3% 21.3% 21.3% 22.2%	1 3 12 9 17 6	6.3% 25.0% 18.8%	Responses Agree - 1+2 Disagree - 4+5		102 31.4% 46.1%	47 31.9% 48.9%		

Respondees Total 156			SWHNonPrimaryPrimaryResidentsResidents				Notes/Comments			
			<b>69.2%</b>	108	30.8%	48				
11. New residential development in all the										
zones around the harbor should be allowed but										
restricted to single family houses or small B &										
Bs.	11	7.1%	10	9.3%	1	2.1%	Responses	145	98	47
1. Strongly Agree		22.4%	24	22.2%	11	22.9%				
2. Agree		32.7%	34	31.5%	17		Agree - 1+2	59.3%	59.2%	59.6%
3. Neutral		13.5%	14	13.0%	7	14.6%				
4. Disagree		14.1%	13	12.0%	9	18.8%	Disagree - 4+5	26.2%	26.5%	25.5%
5. Strongly Disagree	16	10.3%	13	12.0%	3	6.3%				
12. Protect views of the harbor by restricting										
the size, height and density of building around										
the harbor.	6	3.8%	5	4.6%	1	2.1%	Responses	150	103	47
1. Strongly Agree	102	65.4%	66	61.1%	36	75.0%				
2. Agree	32	20.5%	22	20.4%	10	20.8%	Agree - 1+2	89.3%	85.4%	97.9%
3. Neutral	10	6.4%	8	7.4%	2	4.2%				
4. Disagree	1	0.6%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	Disagree - 4+5	4.0%	5.8%	0.0%
5. Strongly Disagree	5	3.2%	5	4.6%	0	0.0%				
13. Reconsider the restriction on the										
construction of new buildings for boat storage										
on the waterfront (since outside boat storage										
is permitted in the same area).	11	7.1%	11	10.2%	0	0.0%	Responses	145	97	48
1. Strongly Agree	21	13.5%	12	11.1%	9	18.8%				
2. Agree	33	21.2%	24	22.2%	9	18.8%	Agree - 1+2	37.2%	37.1%	37.5%
3. Neutral	39	25.0%	29	26.9%	10	20.8%				
4. Disagree	31	19.9%	16	14.8%	15	31.3%	Disagree - 4+5	35.9%	33.0%	41.7%
5. Strongly Disagree	21	13.5%	16	14.8%	5	10.4%				
14. Create a by-pass around the town center										
to improve traffic management and flow										
through town.	7	4.5%	5	4.6%	2	4.2%	Responses	149	103	46
1. Strongly Agree	49	31.4%	40	37.0%	9	18.8%				
2. Agree	23	14.7%	14	13.0%	9	18.8%	Agree - 1+2	48.3%	52.4%	39.1%
3. Neutral	15	9.6%	10	9.3%	5	10.4%	-			
4. Disagree	38	24.4%	20	18.5%			Disagree - 4+5	41.6%	37.9%	50.0%

Respondees To	tal 156	SWH Primary Residents		Non Primary Residents		Notes/Comments			
		69.2%	108	30.8%	48				
5. Strongly Disagree	24 15.4%	19	17.6%	5	10.4%				
15. The harbor and its related businesses									
should continue to be an important aspect of									
the employment and economy of Southwest	• • • • •					_			
Harbor.	<b>6</b> 3.8%	6	5.6%	0		Responses	150	102	48
1. Strongly Agree	98 62.8%	63	58.3%	35	72.9%				
2. Agree	48 30.8%	35	32.4%	13		Agree - 1+2	97.3%	96.1%	100.0%
3. Neutral	4 2.6%	4	3.7%	0	0.0%				
4. Disagree	0 0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Disagree - 4+5	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
5. Strongly Disagree	0 0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%				
16. The Town should make a concerted effort									
to acquire the Hook property next to the									
Manset town dock.	<b>14</b> 9.0%	11	10.2%	3	6.3%	Responses	142	97	45
1. Strongly Agree	50 32.1%	35	32.4%	15	31.3%				
2. Agree	32 20.5%	21	19.4%	11	22.9%	Agree - 1+2	57.7%	57.7%	57.8%
3. Neutral	39 25.0%	25	23.1%	14	29.2%				
4. Disagree	11 7.1%	6	5.6%	5	10.4%	Disagree - 4+5	14.8%	16.5%	11.1%
5. Strongly Disagree	10 6.4%	10	9.3%	0	0.0%				
17. The Town should keep the right of way to									
the beach from Lawler Lane open and									
accessible to residents.	<b>11</b> 7.1%	9	8.3%	2	4.2%	Responses	145	99	46
1. Strongly Agree	69 44.2%	48	44.4%	21	43.8%				
2. Agree	47 30.1%	31	28.7%	16	33.3%	Agree - 1+2	80.0%	79.8%	80.4%
3. Neutral	26 16.7%	19	17.6%	7	14.6%	-			
4. Disagree	2 1.3%	1	0.9%	1	2.1%	Disagree - 4+5	2.1%	1.0%	4.3%
5. Strongly Disagree	1 0.6%	0	0.0%	1	2.1%	-			
18. The restriction that prohibits marinas in the Commercial Fishing/Maritime Activities zone should be removed; other marinas should									
be permitted in the harbor.	<b>12</b> 7.7%	10	9.3%	2	4.2%	Responses	144	98	46
1. Strongly Agree	14 9.0%	11	10.2%	3	6.3%				
2. Agree	41 26.3%	26	24.1%	15	31.3%	Agree - 1+2	38.2%	37.8%	39.1%
3. Neutral	36 23.1%	25	23.1%	11	22.9%				

Respondees 1	otal 156	SWH Primary Residents		Non Primary Residents		Notes/	Comments	
4. Disagree	24 15.4%	<mark>69.2%</mark> 15	<mark>108</mark> 13.9%	<b>30.8%</b> 9	48	Disagree - 4+5	36.8% 36.7%	37.0%
5. Strongly Disagree	24 15.4% 29 18.6%	21	13.9% 19.4%	9 8	16.7%	Disagree - 4+5	30.0% 30.7%	37.0%
3. Strongly Disagree	29 10.0%	21	15.4 /0	0	10.7 /0			
Zoning/Land Use								
1. Southwest Harborh's mixed use zoning allows fresidential, commercial and industrial; uses to be intermingled. Do you favor the continuance of mixed use zoning in Southwest Harbor? Yes No Don't Know	<b>6</b> 3.8% 99 63.5% 29 18.6% 22 14.1%	5 70 17 16	4.6% 64.8% 15.7% 14.8%	1 29 12 6	2.1% 60.4% 25.0% 12.5%			
2. Would you favor placing restrictions on commercial development in areas that are primarily residential? Yes No Don't Know	<b>2</b> 1.3% 113 <b>72.4%</b> 29 18.6% 12 7.7%	1 71 27 9	0.9% <b>65.7%</b> 25.0% 8.3%	1 42 2 3	2.1% <b>87.5%</b> 4.2% 6.3%	Significant difference		
3. Is buffering in your neighborhood protecting your property from commercial and industrial development? Yes No Don't Know	<b>10</b> 6.4% 36 23.1% 46 29.5% 64 41.0%	7 25 35 41	6.5% 23.1% 32.4% 38.0%	3 11 11 23	6.3% 22.9% 22.9% 47.9%			
4. Would you favor lifting some of the development restrictions in the Harbor Zones to permit more residential development? Yes No Don't Know	<b>4</b> 2.6% 51 32.7% 77 49.4% 24 15.4%	3 35 52 18	2.8% 32.4% 48.1% 16.7%	1 16 25 6	2.1% 33.3% 52.1% 12.5%			

Respondees T	otal	156	SWH Primary Residents		Non Primary Residents		Notes/Comments
			69.2%	108	30.8%	48	
5. The 1996 Future Land Use Plan favored							
removing the distinction between Zone B and							
C. Zone B allows for greater building density than Zone C. Do you favor this move?	4	2.6%	2	1.9%	2	4.2%	
Yes		16.0%	23	<b>21.3%</b>	2	4.2%	Significant difference
No		46.8%	23 48	44.4%	25	52.1%	Significant difference
Don't Know		34.6%	40 35	32.4%	19	39.6%	
	54	04.070	00	02.470	15	00.070	
<ol> <li>Would you like to see more housing available for annual incomes between \$35,000 and \$65,000? (The estimated median household income in Maine in 2007 was \$44,632 as reported by the United States</li> </ol>							
Census.)	4	2.6%	3	2.8%	1	2.1%	
Yes		67.9%	76	70.4%	30	62.5%	
No	24	15.4%	17	15.7%	7	14.6%	
Don't Know	22	14.1%	12	11.1%	10	20.8%	
7. Would you be against the building of more							
duplexes and multi-unit housing?	2	1.3%	1	0.9%	1	2.1%	
Yes		29.5%	29	26.9%	17	35.4%	
No		60.3%	69	63.9%	25	52.1%	
Don't Know	14	9.0%	9	8.3%	5	10.4%	
<ol><li>Should strip development be restricted</li></ol>							
along Route 102?	5	3.2%	5	4.6%	0	0.0%	
Yes		77.6%	79	73.1%	42	87.5%	
No		13.5%	18	16.7%	3	6.3%	
Don't Know	9	5.8%	6	5.6%	3	6.3%	

Respondees T	otal	156	SWH Primary Residents 69.2%	108	Non Primary Residents 30.8%	48	Notes/Comments
9. The 1996 Future Land Use Plan suggested one change (not enacted) in the shoreland zones to reflect the realities of usage. The area concerned is on the south side of the harbor between Manset corner and the Manset Town Dock, which was to have become Shoreland/Residential rather than Harbor. Do							
you favor this change?	4	2.6%	3	2.8%	1	2.1%	
Yes		44.2%	43	39.8%	26	54.2%	Significant difference
No		26.9%	31	28.7%	11	22.9%	
Don't Know	41	26.3%	31	28.7%	10	20.8%	
<ul> <li>10. Most development in Southwest Harbor since our 1996 Comprehensive Plan has been residential development in Zone C, which has larger lots and lower density. The state encourages towns to designate "rural areas" in their comprehensive plans. Would you favor designating some parts of Zone C as "rural areas" and further reducing permitted density? Yes No</li> <li>11. If Yes, what areas would you designate?</li> </ul>	48 32	1.9% 46.8% 30.8% 20.5%	3 44 39 22 15	2.8% <b>40.7%</b> 36.1% 20.4% 34.1%	0 29 9 10	0.0% <b>60.4%</b> 18.8% 20.8%	Significant difference
12. The state planning office encourages towns to designate "growth areas" in their comprehensive plans to focus future development. Southwest Harbor's year-round population is projected to remain stable or decrease in the next decade, and changes in the summer resident population are hard to project. Would you favor designating one or more "growth areas" in town?	18	11.5%	13	12.0%	5	10.4%	

Page 10

Respondees T	otal 156	SWH Primary		Non Primary		Notes/Comments
		Residents		Residents		
		69.2%	108	30.8%	48	
Yes	41 26.3%	24	22.2%	17	35.4%	
No	51 32.7%	37	34.3%	14	29.2%	
Don't Know	46 29.5%	34	31.5%	12	25.0%	
<ol> <li>If Yes, what areas would you designate? Yes Comments</li> </ol>	<b>31</b> 00.00/	10	70.00/	G	25.20/	
Yes Comments	<b>34</b> 82.9%	19	79.2%	6	35.3%	
Development/Infrastructure						
15. Has your property been adversely affected						
by storm water runoff in Southwest Harbor within the last 5 years?	<b>3</b> 1.9%	2	1.9%	1	2.1%	
Yes	73 46.8%	48	44.4%	25	52.1%	
No	80 51.3%	58	53.7%	22	45.8%	
16. If yes, what happened? Please check all						
that apply.	<b>5</b> 6.8%	4	8.3%	1	4.0%	
a. Flooded basement or gara	31 42.5%	20	41.7%	11	44.0%	
b. Flooded yard.	46 63.0%	24	50.0%	21	84.0%	
c. Erosion and/or washouts.	52 <b>71.2%</b>	30	62.5%	21	84.0%	
d. Sewer backup.	8 11.0%	5	10.4%	3	12.0%	
e. Other, please specify.	3 4.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
17. De vers deigt, des Termis is investige en such						
17. Do you think the Town is investing enough in infrastructure needs, such as roads,						
sidewalks, water and sewer?	4 2.6%	1	0.9%	3	6.3%	
Yes	24 15.4%	16	14.8%	8	16.7%	
No	116 <b>74.4%</b>	82	75.9%	34	70.8%	
Don't Know	12 7.7%	9	8.3%	3	6.3%	
<b>10</b> If we asked a second to be a set						
<ol> <li>If no, where do you think investment is most needed? Please check all that apply.</li> </ol>	<b>24</b> 20.7%	14	17.1%	10	29.4%	
a. Water.	<b>24</b> 20.7% 53 45.7%	38	46.3%			
				15	31.3%	
	30 25.9%	16 50	19.5%	14	29.2%	
c. Roads.	66 56.9%	53	64.6%	13	27.1%	

Respondees To	otal	156	SWH Primary		Non Primary		Notes/Comments
			Residents		Residents		
			69.2%	108	30.8%	48	
d. Sidewalks.	99	85.3%	77	93.9%	22	45.8%	
e. Bike paths.	74	63.8%	54	65.9%	20	41.7%	
f. Storm water managemen	70	60.3%	47	57.3%	23	47.9%	
19. Are you interested in exploring investment							
in alternative energy so that Southwest Harbor	_	0.00/		0.00/		0.00/	
can reduce its carbon footprint? Yes	5	3.2% 63.5%	1 69	0.9% 63.9%	4 30	8.3% 62.5%	
No		26.3%	69 29	03.9% 26.9%	12	62.5% 25.0%	
Don't Know	11	20.3 % 7.1%	29 9	20.9 <i>%</i> 8.3%	2	23.0 <i>%</i> 4.2%	
Dontraiow		7.170	Ű	0.070	-	4.270	
20. If yes, which investments would you be							
interested in? Please check all that apply.	46	46.5%	32	46.4%	14	46.7%	
a. Purchasing renewable energy from the							
grid.	45	45.5%	31	44.9%	14	46.7%	
b. Installing our own windmill in Southwest	70	70 70/	50	76 00/	17	EC 70/	
Harbor.	70	70.7%	53	76.8%	17	50.7%	Significant difference
<ul> <li>Installing solar panels on public structures in Southwest Harbor.</li> </ul>	93	93.9%	65	94.2%	28	93.3%	
d. Other.	00	00.070	00	04.270	1	3.3%	
						0.070	
21. Would you support an expansion of the							
sewer system?	10	6.4%	6	5.6%	4	8.3%	
Yes		34.0%	35	32.4%	18	37.5%	
No Don't Know		32.7% 26.9%	36 31	33.3% 28.7%	15 11	31.3% 22.9%	
Dont Know	42	20.9%	31	20.170		22.9%	
22. If so, where?	42	79.2%	22	62.9%	12	66.7%	
	74	19.270	22	02.370	12	00.7 /8	
23. The 1996 Comprehensive Plan calls for							
the construction of year round public restrooms							
in the downtown area. Do you support this							
recommendation?	6	3.8%	5	4.6%	1	2.1%	
Yes	97	62.2%	68	63.0%	29	60.4%	

Respondees Total 156		156	SWH Primary Residents		Non Primary Residents		Notes/Comments
			69.2%	108	30.8%	48	
No		24.4%	25	23.1%	13	27.1%	
Don't Know	15	9.6%	10	9.3%	5	10.4%	
24. About half the residents of Southwest Harbor get their water from wells. Are you concerned that the water quality/quantity from these wells may be threatened?-	9	5.8%	6	5.6%	3	6.3%	
Yes		29.5%	28	25.9%	18	37.5%	
No		41.0%	52	48.1%	12		Significant difference
Don't Know		23.7%	22	20.4%	15	31.3%	
Dont Know	07	20.770	22	20.470	10	01.070	
25. If yes, please describe your concerns. Yes Responses	42		27		15		
26. Would you support the purchase of the dirt parking lot adjacent to the Manset Town Dock for the purpose of expanding parking and water access if the land became available for sale? (This was recommended in the 1996	-	4 50/	F	4.00/		0.10/	
Plan.)		4.5%	5	4.6%	1	2.1%	
Yes		67.9%	72	66.7%	34	70.8%	
No		16.0%	18	16.7%	7	14.6%	
Don't Know	18	11.5%	13	12.0%	5	10.4%	
27. Would you support the removal of parking on one side of Main St. to improve traffic flow and safety in summer?	E	3.2%	0	2.8%	1	2.1%	
Yes	5	3.2% 51.3%	3 63	2.0% 58.3%	1 17		Significant difference
No		39.1%	37	34.3%	24		Significant difference
Don't Know	10		5	4.6%	24 5	<b>30.0</b> % 10.4%	Significant unerence
Dont Know	10	0.4 /0	5	4.0 %	5	10.4 /0	
28. Would you consider the creation of a one way traffic pattern in parts of the downtown area to promote safety and smoother summer	_						
traffic flow?	4		3	2.8%	1	2.1%	
Yes	59		40	37.0%	19	39.6%	
No		46.2%	49	45.4%	23	47.9%	
Don't Know	20	12.8%	16	14.8%	4	8.3%	

Respondees Total 156		SWH Primary		Non Primary		Notes/Comments	
			Residents	100	Residents	10	
			69.2%	108	30.8%	48	
29. Is the Traffic Ordinance adequately							
enforced?	<b>9</b> 5	.8%	8	7.4%	1	2.1%	
Yes	61 39	.1%	39	36.1%	22	45.8%	
No	25 16	.0%	18	16.7%	7	14.6%	
Don't Know	61 39	.1%	43	39.8%	17	35.4%	
30. Would you support construction of a bicycle path on Route 102 from the down town	•	00/	-	4.00/		0.00/	
area to the Manset Corner?		.8%	5	4.6%	0	0.0%	
Yes		.0%	80	74.1%	37	77.1%	
No		.7%	19	17.6%	7	14.6%	
Don't Know	74	.5%	4	3.7%	3	6.3%	
31. Our 1996 Comprehensive Plan recommended: "the Town should explore innovative ways to share services with other Island towns to economize on costs." Which of the following areas would you like to see							
explored further? Please check all that apply.	<b>24</b> 15	.4%	15	13.9%	9	18.8%	
a. Some form of school consolidation with Tremont.	104 <b>78</b>	.8%	72	77.4%	32	82.1%	
b. Give up local dispatch and remove service to Hancock County.	47 35	6%	32	34.4%	15	38.5%	
c. Expand local public transportation in	47 33	.0 /0	52	54.470	15	50.576	
summer? Winter?	70 53	.0%	52	55.9%	18	46.2%	
d. Other? Please specify.							
32. Should the Town take a more active role in supporting business development?	<b>16</b> 10		12	11.1%	4	8.3%	
Yes	71 45		50	46.3%	21	43.8%	
No	45 28		28	25.9%	17	35.4%	
Don't Know	24 15	.4%	18	16.7%	6	12.5%	

Respondees Total 156		SWH Primary Residents		Non Primary Residents		Notes/Comments
		<b>69.2%</b>	108	30.8%	48	
SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL						
33. How do you dispose of your trash	<b>2</b> 1.3%	2	1.9%	0	0.0%	
a. I haul it to EMR myself.	106 <b>67.9%</b>		75.9%	24		Significant difference
b. A private hauler takes it to EMR for me.	48 30.8%	24	22.2%	24	50.0%	
34. Do you recycle?	<b>2</b> 1.3%	0	0.0%	2	4.2%	
Yes	145 <b>92.9%</b>		<b>93.5%</b>	44	91.7%	
No	9 5.8%		6.5%	2	4.2%	
35. If yes, please specify what materials you recycle. Check all that apply.	<b>8</b> 5.5%	5	4.6%	5	10.4%	
a. Cardboard.	<b>6</b> 5.5% 130 89.7%		4.0 <i>%</i> 92.1%	37	84.1%	
b. Paper.	124 85.5%		88.1%	35	79.5%	
c. Plastic.	122 84.1%		84.2%	37	84.1%	
d. Aluminum (metal?)	123 84.8%		86.1%	36	81.8%	
e. Glass.	118 81.4%		79.2%	38	86.4%	
f. Returnables	136 93.8%		94.1%	41	93.2%	
	.00 00.070	00	01.170	r i	00.270	
36. Did you know that the transfer station						
service does NOT separate the recyclable items from your general trash for you?	<b>3</b> 1.9%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	
Yes	135 <b>86.5%</b>		88.9%	39	81.3%	
No	19 12.2%	11	10.2%	8	16.7%	

Respondees Total 156		SWH Primary		Non Primary		Notes/Comments
		Residents 69.2%	108	Residents 30.8%	48	
37. Southwest Harbor currently recycles about		09.2 /0	100	30.0 /8	40	
33% of its solid waste. What sorts of						
programs might you support to expand our recycling rate? Please check all that apply.	<b>40</b> 25.6%	29	26.9%	11	22.9%	
a. Homeowner incentives to recycle (pay						
per bag).	51 44.0%	41	51.9%	10	27.0%	Significant difference
b. Taxpayer supported trash and recycling pick up.	51 44.0%	36	45.6%	15	40.5%	
c. Community composting.	50 43.1%	37	46.8%	13	35.1%	
d. Ordinance ban on Styrofoam.	50 43.1%	30	38.0%	20		Significant difference
e. Other.	22 19.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
CIVIC INVOLVEMENT						
38. Did you attend Town Meeting this past						
May?	<b>2</b> 1.3%	2	1.9%	0	0.0%	
Yes No	39 25.0% 115 <b>73.7%</b>	35 71	32.4% 65.7%	4 44	8.3% <b>91.7%</b>	
ĨŇŬ	115 10.170	7.1	00.778		51.770	
39. If not, why not?	<b>49</b> 42.6%	44	62.0%	5	11.4%	
Out of Town/Away	55 47.8%	21	29.6%	34	77.3%	
Other	11 9.6%	6	8.5%	5	11.4%	
40. Are you aware that meetings of the various Committees and Boards set up to						
conduct the Town's business are open to the						
public, and that there is opportunity for your input and comments?	<b>3</b> 1.9%	3	2.8%	0	0.0%	
Yes	143 <b>91.7%</b>	104	96.3%	39	81.3%	
No	10 6.4%	1	0.9%	9	18.8%	
40 Hove you attended and an main Desirt of						
42. Have you attended one or more Board of Selectmen Meetings in the last 12 months?	<b>5</b> 3.2%	4	3.7%	1	2.1%	
Yes	45 28.8%	30	27.8%	15	31.3%	
No	106 <b>67.9%</b>	74	68.5%	32	66.7%	

Re	espondees T	otal 156	SWH Primary Residents 69.2%	108	Non Primary Residents 30.8%	48	Notes/Comments
43. Any other Committee or Board And if yes, please specify.	meetings? Yes	<b>109 69.9%</b> 31 19.9%	72 0	66.7% 0.0%	37 0	<b>77.1%</b> 0.0%	
	No	16 10.3%	12	11.1%	4	8.3%	